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## Global View of Gene Expression in SSc Tissues



- Identified in multiple cohorts of SSc patients
- Identified in multiple end-target tissues
- Driving pathways are conserved across cohorts


## Gene Expression in SSc Skin is Dominated by Intrinsic Gene

## Expression Subsets



- Subsets based on gene expression profiling in skin
- Found in multiple independent skin cohorts


## Gene Expression Subsets Identify Patients that Improve with Therapy.



## Development of a SSc Subset Classifier Using Machine Learning




## SCOT: Scleroderma Cyclophosphomide or Transplantation

- Patients with severe scleroderma were randomized to receive either 12 doses of cyclophosphamide or myeloablative hematopoietic stem cell transplant
- Participants were followed for $5+$ years and evaluated for event-free survival
- Events: respiratory, cardiac, or renal failure; death
- Patients who received a transplant had significantly increased EFS




Sullivan K, et al. NEJM. (2018)

## Gene Expression Analyses of PBMC Samples from SCOT Trial Participants

- Gene expression analyses were performed on 63/75 per-protocol (PP) patients defined as the participants who received at least nine doses of cyclophosphamide or received a HSCT.
- 30 HSCT, 33 CYC (Per-protocol)
- 229 RNA samples analyzed by DNA microarray
- 63 SSc patients analyzed over six timepoints: Baseline, $8,14,20 / 26,38,48 / 54$ months
- Analyzed for , intrinsic subtype, differential expression, cell-type deconvolution, and association with covariates.
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## Clinical Characteristics of Patients in the SCOT Gene Expression Cohort



Franks JM, Martyanov et al. 2020 Ann Rheum Dis

## Intrinsic Gene Expression Subsets in the SCOT Participant PBMC Gene

 Expression Data Mirror Previous Observations- Gene expression from DNA microarrays; applied classifier to determine subsets at baseline
- Identified gene expression modules associated intrinsic gene expression subsets in PBMCs using WGCNA.
- Asked the question, are there prognostic differences between subsets for these treatments?


Franks JM, Martyanov et al. 2020 Ann Rheum Dis

## SCOT Event-Free Survival Stratified by Subset





Franks JM, Martyanov et al. 2020 Ann Rheum Dis

## SCOT Conclusions

- The HSCT arm of the SCOT trial showed substantially larger changes in gene expression compared to CYC arm.
- Participants assigned to the fibroproliferative subset, who tend not to improve on immunosuppressive therapy (e.g. mycophenolate mofetil or abatacept), were the most likely to benefit from HSCT compared to CYC ( $\mathrm{p}=0.0091$ )
- Participants assigned to the normal-like subset did not show benefit from HSCT treatment over CYC ( $\mathrm{p}=0.94$ )
- Inflammatory patients show a trend toward improvement in HSCT which did not reach statistical significance $(\mathrm{p}=0.1)$


## ASSET Study \& Intrinsic Subsets

- Summary of pilot study by Chakravarty et al. 2015
- Preliminary analyses in the ASSET Trial
- Randomized control trial of Abatacept to treat dcSSc
- Longitudinal skin biopsies collected
- RNA-seq for gene expression analyses



## Abatacept Pilot Study: Inflammatory subset shows clinical response in skin

Patient Baseline Post-treatment

| Imp1 | I | I |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Imp2 | I | P |
| Imp3 | I | L |
| Imp4 | NL | P |
| Imp5 | I | NL |
| NonImp1 | NL | NL |
| Plb1 | NL | NL |
| Plb2 | I | I |

## Inflammatory signature in abatacept improvers



- $4 / 5$ improvers are inflammatory at baseline
- $4 / 5$ improvers show a significant decrease in the inflammatory gene signature $(\mathrm{p}=0.014)$
- Inflammatory patients show a trend towards greater MRSS improvement at 24 weeks vs. normal-like
( $-13.5 \pm 3.1$ vs. $-4.5 \pm 6.4, \mathrm{p}=0.067$ )
Chakravarty et al. ART 2015


## Abatacept: Expression of genes regulated by CD28 show a decrease in improvers

CD28 pathway trends
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## ASSET Trial Analysis Overview



Khanna et al. Arthritis Rheum. 2020

## Intrinsic Subsets \& Treatment Arm

Subsets as assigned at baseline

|  | Inflammatory | Normal-like | Proliferative |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Abatacept | 19 | 16 | 8 |
| Placebo | 14 | 17 | 10 |

Fisher's Exact Test: p-0.6112

## Clinical outcomes by intrinsic subset in the ASSET trial

Hypothesis: Participants in the inflammatory subset will be the most likely to show improvement in MRSS with abatacept treatment.

- Change in mRSS over 12 months was significantly different between the abatacept and placebo treatment arms for the inflammatory ( $\mathrm{p}<0.001$ ) and normal-like $(\mathrm{p}=0.03)$ subsets.
- No difference in mRSS for the fibroproliferative subset of patients ( $\mathfrak{p}=0.47$ )
- For $\mathrm{FVC} \%$ predicted, the fibroproliferative subset showed a numerical increase in $\mathrm{FVC} \%$ in the abatacept arm $(\mathrm{p}=0.19)$ while all other groups showed decreases in $\mathrm{FVC} \%$.
- All subsets showed decreases in HAQ-DI in the abatacept arm not observed in the placebo arm, with the most robust changes occurring in the inflammatory ( $\mathrm{p}=0.09$ ) and normal-like ( $\mathrm{p}=0.06$ ) subsets.

Khanna et al. Arthritis Rheum. 2020

## Clinical outcomes by intrinsic subset in the ASSET trial



## Conclusions

- Abatacept resulted in a marked divergence in mRSS change for the inflammatory subset with little impact on the fibroproliferative subset.
- Patients who improve on abatacept have the high expression of the Costimulation by the CD28 family pathway.
- Degree of pathway expression is correlated change in MRSS. Higher pathway expression results in a larger change in MRSS.
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